# Symmetric Polynomials

Shuo WANG

October 11, 2022

## Contents

1	The Ring of symmetric functions	1
2	Elementary symmetric functions	4
3	Complete symmetric functions	6
4	Power sums	7
5	Bilinear form and orthogonality	10

#### 1 The Ring of symmetric functions

Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  of polynomials in n independent variables  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  with rational integer coefficients. The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on this ring by permuting the variables, and a polynomial is symmetric if it is invariant under this action. The symmetric polynomials form a subring

$$\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}.$$

 $\Lambda_n$  is a graded ring: we have

$$\Lambda_n = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \Lambda_n^k$$

where  $\Lambda_n^k$  consists of the homogeneous symmetric polynomials of degree k, together with the zero polynomial.

For each  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  we denote by  $x^{\alpha}$  the monomial

$$x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Let  $\lambda$  be any partition of length  $\leq n$ . The polynomial

$$m_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum x^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

summed over all distinct permutations  $\alpha$  of  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ , is clearly symmetric, and the  $m_{\lambda}$  (as  $\lambda$  runs through all partitions of length  $\leq n$ ) form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n$ . Hence the  $m_{\lambda}$  such that  $l(\lambda) \leq n$  and  $|\lambda| = k$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n^k$ ; in particular, as soon as  $n \geq k$ , the  $m_{\lambda}$  such that  $|\lambda| = k$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n^k$ .

I will supply a proof that the  $(m_{\lambda})$  consists a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n$ .

*Proof.* We proof by induction on the degree of the symmetric polynomial f. When deg f = 1,  $f = a(x_1 + \ldots + x_n) = am_{\lambda}$  for some nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . Now choose the monomial  $x^{\lambda}$  in f such that  $\lambda$  is maximal in lexicographic ordering and the coefficient  $a_{\lambda} \neq 0$ . Assume  $|\lambda| = k+1$ . Since f is symmetric,  $a_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}$  is in f. Substract  $a_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}$  form f we then get a symmetric polynomial with smaller lexicographic ordering. Continue this process and after finite steps, we can subtract all the  $a_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}$  in f such that  $|\lambda| = k+1$ . We get a symmetric polynomial g of degree k, and the induction shows that g is a finite linear combination of  $m_{\lambda}$  with integer coefficients and  $|\lambda| \leq k$ . Thus, f is a finite linear combination of  $m_{\lambda}$ . We thus see  $(m_{\lambda})$  indexed by all the partitions spans  $\Lambda_n$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . That they are linearly independent follows from the fact that these n variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are algebraically independent.  $\square$ 

In the theory of symmetric functions, the number of variables is usually irrelevant, provided only that it is large enough, and it is often more convenient to work with symmetric functions in infinitely many variables. To make this idea precise, let  $m \ge n$  and consider the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$$

which sends each of  $x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_m$  to zero and other  $x_i$  to themselves. On restriction to  $\Lambda_m$  this gives a homomorphism

$$\rho_{m,n}:\Lambda_m\to\Lambda_n$$

whose effect on the basis  $(m_{\lambda})$  is easily dascribed; it sends  $m_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  to  $m_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  if  $l(\lambda) \leq n$ , and to 0 if  $l(\lambda) > n$ . It follows that  $\rho_{m,n}$  is surjective. On restriction to  $\Lambda_m^k$  we have homomorphisms

$$\rho_{m,n}:\Lambda_m^k\to\Lambda_n^k$$

for all  $k \ge 0$  and  $m \ge n$ , which are always surjective, and are bijective for  $m \ge n \ge k$ .

We now form the inverse limit

$$\Lambda^k = \lim_{\leftarrow i} \Lambda_n^k$$

of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\Lambda_n^k$  relative to the homomorphisms  $\rho_{m,n}^k$ : an element of  $\Lambda^k$  is by definition a sequence  $f=(f_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ , where each  $f_n=f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  is a homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree k in  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ , and  $f_m(x_1,\ldots,x_n,0,\ldots,0)=f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  whenever  $m\geqslant n$ . Since  $\rho_{m,n}^k$  is an isomorphism for  $m\geqslant n\geqslant k$ , it follows that the projection

$$\rho_n^k: \Lambda^k \to \Lambda_n^k$$

which sends f to  $f_n$ , is an isomorphism for all  $n \ge k$ , and hence that  $\Lambda^k$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis consisting of the monomial symmetric functions  $m_{\lambda}$  (for all partitions  $\lambda$  of k) defined by

$$\rho_n^k(m_\lambda) = m_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $n \ge k$ . Hence  $\Lambda^k$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank p(k), the number of partitions of k.

Now let

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{k \geqslant 0} \Lambda^k,$$

so that  $\Lambda$  is the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by the  $m_{\lambda}$  for all partitions  $\lambda$ . We have surjective homomorphism

$$\rho_n = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \rho_n^k : \Lambda \to \Lambda_n$$

for each  $n \ge 0$ , and  $\rho_n$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $k \le n$ .

It is clear that  $\Lambda$  has a structure of a graded ring such that the  $\rho_n$  are ring homomorphisms. The graded ring  $\Lambda$  thus defined is called the ring of symmetric functions in countably many independent variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ ,

#### 2 Elementary symmetric functions

For each integer  $r \ge 0$  the rth elementary symmetric function  $e_r$  is the sum of all products of r distinct variables  $x_i$ , so that  $e_0 = 1$  and

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} = m_{(1^r)}$$

for  $r \ge 1$ . The generating function for the  $e_r$  is

$$E(t) = \sum_{r \geqslant 0} e_r t^r = \prod_{i \geqslant 1} (1 + x_i t)$$
 (2)

(t being another variable), as one sees by multiplying out the product on the right. (If the number of variables is finite, say n, then  $e_r$ )(i.e.  $\rho_n(e_r)$ ) is zero for all r > n, and (2) then takes the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} e_r t^r = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i t),$$

both sides now being elements of  $\Lambda_n[t]$ .

For each partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$  define

$$e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \dots$$

Let  $\lambda$  be a partition,  $\lambda'$  be its conjugate. Then

$$e_{\lambda'} = m_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} m_{\mu} \tag{3}$$

where the  $a_{\lambda\mu}$  are non-negative integers, and the sum is over partitions  $\mu < \lambda$  in the natural ordering.

*Proof.* When we multiply out the product  $e_{\lambda'}=e_{\lambda'_1}e_{\lambda'_2}\dots$ , we shall obtain a sum of monomials, each of which is of the form

$$(x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\ldots)(x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\ldots)\ldots=x^{\alpha},$$

say, where  $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i'_{\lambda_1}, j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j'_{\lambda_2}$ , and so on. If we now enter the numbers  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i'_{\lambda_1}$  in order down the first column of the diagram of  $\lambda$ , then the numbers  $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j'_{\lambda_2}$  in order down the second column, and so on, it is clear that for each  $r \geqslant 1$  all the symbols  $\leqslant r$  so entered in the diagram of  $\lambda$  must occur in the top r rows. Hence  $\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_r \leqslant \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r$  for each  $r \geqslant 1$ , i.e. we have  $\alpha \leqslant \lambda$ . Hence,

$$e_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\mu \leqslant \lambda} a_{\lambda\mu} m_{\mu}$$

with  $a_{\lambda\mu} \geqslant 0$  for each  $\mu \leqslant \lambda$ , and the argument above also shows that the monomial  $x^{\lambda}$  occurs only once, so that  $a_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$ .

We have

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots] \tag{4}$$

and the  $e_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The  $m_{\lambda}$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda$ , and (3) shows that the  $e_{\lambda}$  form another  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis: in other words, every element of  $\Lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  is uniquely expressible as a polynomial in the  $e_r$ .

When there are only finitely many variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , (4) states that  $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ , and that  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  are algebraically independent. This is the usual statement of the "fundamental theorem on symmetric polynomials".

#### 3 Complete symmetric functions

For each  $r \ge 0$  the rth complete symmetric function  $h_r$  is the sum of all monomials of total degree r in the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ , so that

$$h_r = \sum_{|\lambda| = r} m_{\lambda}$$

In particular,  $h_0 = 1$  and  $h_1 = e_1$ . It is convenient to define  $h_r$  and  $e_r$  to be zero for r < 0.

The generating function for the  $h_r$  is

$$H(t) = \sum_{r \ge 0} h_r t^r = \prod_{i \ge 1} (1 - x_i t)^{-1}.$$
 (5)

To see this, observe that

$$(1 - x_i t)^{-1} = \sum_{k \ge 0} x_i^k t^k,$$

and multiply these geometric series together.

From (2) and (5) we have

$$H(t)E(-t) = 1 (6)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r e_r h_{n-r} = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Since the  $e_r$  are algebraically independent, we may define a homomorphism of graded rings

$$\omega: \Lambda \to \Lambda$$
$$e_r \mapsto h_r$$

Using (7) and mathematical induction we can see  $\omega(h_r) = e_r$  for  $r \ge 0$ . This shows that  $\omega$  is an involution.

It follows that  $\omega$  is an automorphism of  $\Lambda$ , and hence from (4) that

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \ldots] \tag{8}$$

and the  $h_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

As in the case of the e's, we define

$$h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \dots$$

for any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ . By (8), the  $h_{\lambda}$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda$ . We now have three  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis, all indexed by partitions: the  $m_{\lambda}$ , the  $e_{\lambda}$ , and the  $h_{\lambda}$ , the last two of which correspond under the involution  $\omega$ . If we define

$$f_{\lambda} = \omega(m_{\lambda})$$

for each partition  $\lambda$ , the  $f_{\lambda}$  form a fourth  $\mathbb{Z} - basis$  of  $\Lambda$ .

#### 4 Power sums

For each  $r \ge 1$  the rth power sum is

$$p_r = \sum x_i^r = m_{(r)}.$$

The generating function for the  $p_r$  is

$$P(t) = \sum_{r\geqslant 1} p_r t^{r-1} = \sum_{i\geqslant 1} \sum_{r\geqslant 1} x_i^r t^{r-1}$$
$$= \sum_{i\geqslant 1} \frac{x_i}{1 - x_i t}$$
$$= \sum_{i\geqslant 1} \frac{d}{dt} \log \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}$$

so that

$$P(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \log \prod_{i \ge 1} (1 - x_i t)^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \log H(t) = \frac{H'(t)}{H(t)}.$$
 (9)

Likewise we have

$$P(-t) = \frac{d}{dt}logE(t) = \frac{E'(t)}{E(t)}$$
(10)

From (9) and (10) we obtain

$$nh_n = \sum_{r=1}^n p_r h_{n-r} \tag{11}$$

$$ne_n = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (-1)^{r-1} p_r e_{n-r}$$
(12)

for  $n \ge 1$ , and these equations enable us to express the h's and the e's in terms of the p's, and vice versa. The equation (12) are due to Issac Newton, and are known as Newton's formulas. From (11) it is clear that  $h_n \in \mathbb{Q}[p_1, \ldots, p_n]$  and  $p_n \in \mathbb{Z}[h_1, \ldots, h_n]$ , and hence that

$$\mathbb{Q}[p_1,\ldots,p_n]=\mathbb{Q}[h_1,\ldots,h_n].$$

Since the  $h_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence also over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it follows that

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, \ldots] \tag{13}$$

and the  $p_r$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Hence, if we define

$$p_{\lambda} = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \dots$$

for each partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ , then the  $p_{\lambda}$  form a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Since the involution  $\omega$  interchanges E(t) and H(t) it follows from (11) and (12) that

$$\omega(p_n) = (-1)^{n-1} p_n$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ , and hence that for any partition  $\lambda$  we have

$$\omega(p_{\lambda}) = \epsilon_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} \tag{14}$$

where  $\epsilon_{\lambda} = (-1)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)}$ .

Finally, we shall express  $h_n$  and  $e_n$  as linear combinations of the  $p_{\lambda}$ . For any partition  $\lambda$ , define

$$z_{\lambda} = \prod_{i \ge 1} i^{m_i} m_i!$$

where  $m_i = m_i(\lambda)$  is the number of entries of  $\lambda$  equal to i. Then we have

$$H(t) = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|} \tag{15}$$

$$E(t) = \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|}$$
 (16)

or equivalently,

$$h_n = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} \tag{17}$$

$$e_n = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \epsilon_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} \tag{18}$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the the identity (15), since the identity (16) then follows by applying the involution  $\omega$  and using (14). From (9) we have

$$H(t) = \exp \sum_{r \ge 1} p_r t^r / r$$

$$= \prod_{r \ge 1} \exp(p_r t^r / r)$$

$$= \prod_{r \ge 1} \sum_{m_r = 0}^{\infty} (p_r t^r)^{m_r} / r^{m_r} m_r!$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|}$$

Denote  $(p_r t^r)^{m_r}/r^{m_r} m_r!$  by  $A(r, m_r)$ , then

$$\prod_{r\geqslant 1} \sum_{m_r=0}^{\infty} (p_r t^r)^{m_r} / r^{m_r} m_r!$$

$$= (A(0,0) + A(0,1) + A(0,2) + A(0,3) + \cdots) \cdot (A(1,0) + A(1,1) + A(1,2) + A(1,3) + \cdots) \cdot (A(2,0) + A(2,1) + A(2,2) + A(2,3) + \cdots) \cdot (A(3,0) + A(3,1) + A(3,2) + A(3,3) + \cdots) \cdot \cdots$$

### 5 Bilinear form and orthogonality

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, ...)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, ...)$  be two finite or infinite sequences of independent variables. We shall denote the symmetric functions of the x's by  $s_{\lambda}(x), p_{\lambda}(x)$ , etc., and the symmetric function of y's by  $s_{\lambda}(y), p_{\lambda}(y)$ , etc.

We shall give there series expansions for the product

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1}.$$

The first of these is

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)$$
(19)

summed over all partitions  $\lambda$ .

Proof. Let  $h_r = \sum_{|\lambda|=r} m_{\lambda}$  be the complete symmetric functions in the variables  $x_i y_j$ . The generating function is  $H(t) = \sum_{r \geqslant 0} h_r t^r = \prod_{i,j \geqslant 1} (1 - x_i y_j t)^{-1}$ . By (15),  $H(t) = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|}$ . Letting t = 1 gives

$$\prod_{i,j\geqslant 1} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x_i y_j)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $p_k(x_iy_j) = p_k(x_i)p_k(y_j)$ , then the result follows.

Next we have

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda}(x) m_{\lambda}(y) = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y)$$
 (20)

summed over all partitions  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \prod_j H(y_j)$$

$$= \prod_j \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} h_r(x) y_j^r$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}(x) y^{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda}(x) m_{\lambda}(y)$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through all sequences  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots)$  of non-negative integers such that  $\sum \alpha_i < \infty$ , and  $\lambda$  runs through all partitions.

The third identity is

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y)$$
(21)

summed over all partitions  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We first prove (21) for n variables  $x_i$  and n variables  $y_j$ ; then letting  $n \to \infty$  as usual.

We now define a scalar product on  $\Lambda$ , i.e. a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued bilinear form  $\langle u, v \rangle$ , by requiring that the bases  $(h_{\lambda})$  and  $(m_{\lambda})$  should be dual to each other:

$$\langle h_{\lambda}, m_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \tag{22}$$

for all partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , where  $\delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  is the Kronecker delta.

For each  $n \ge 0$ , let  $(u_{\lambda}), (v_{\lambda})$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ —bases of  $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , indexed by the partitions of n. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

$$\langle u_{\lambda}, v_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \text{ for all } \lambda, \mu$$
 (23)

$$\sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(x)v_{\lambda}(y) = \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1}$$
(24)

Proof. Let

$$u_{\lambda} = \sum_{\rho} a_{\lambda\rho} h_{\rho} \quad v_{\mu} = \sum_{\sigma} b_{\mu\sigma} m_{\sigma}.$$

Then

$$\langle u_{\lambda}, v_{\mu} \rangle = \sum_{\rho} a_{\lambda\rho} b_{\mu\rho}$$

so that (23) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\rho} a_{\lambda\rho} b_{\mu\rho} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \tag{25}$$

Also (24) is equivalent to the identity

$$\sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(x)v_{\lambda}(y) = \sum_{\rho} h_{\rho}(x)m_{\rho}(y) \tag{26}$$

by (20), hence is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda\rho} b_{\lambda\sigma} = \delta_{\rho\sigma}.$$
 (27)

We use A to denote the matrix  $(a_{\lambda\mu})$  and B to denote the matrix  $(b_{\lambda\mu})$ . Then (25) is equivalent to

$$A \cdot B^T = I \tag{28}$$

Also (27) is equivalent to

$$B^T \cdot A = I \tag{29}$$

Since (28) and (29) are equivalent, so are (23) and (24).  $\Box$ 

From (23) (24) and (19) it follows that

$$\langle p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda \mu} z_{\lambda} \tag{30}$$

so that the  $p_{\lambda}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Likewise (23) (24) and (21) we have

$$\langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda \mu} \tag{31}$$

so that the  $s_{\lambda}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda$ , and the  $s_{\lambda}$  such that  $|\lambda| = n$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda^n$ . Any other orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda^n$  must therefore be obtained from the basis  $(s_{\lambda})$  by transformation by an orthogonal integer matrix. The only such matrices are signed permutation matrices, and therefore (31) characterizes the  $s_{\lambda}$ , up to order and sign.

Also from (30) and (31) we see that

The bilinear form  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is symmetric and positive definite. (32)

The involution  $\omega$  is an isometry, i.e.  $\langle \omega u, \omega v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ . (33)

*Proof.* From (14) we have  $\omega(p_{\lambda}) = \pm p_{\lambda}$ , hence by (30)

$$\langle \omega(p_{\lambda}), \omega(p_{\mu}) \rangle = \langle p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu} \rangle$$

which proves (33), since the  $p_{\lambda}$  form a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .